

RESEARCH Open Access

Validity of a self-report survey tool measuring the nutrition and physical activity environment of primary schools

Nicole Nathan^{1,2,3,4,5*}, Luke Wolfenden^{1,2,3,4,5}, Philip J Morgan⁵, Andrew C Bell^{2,5}, Daniel Barker⁴ and John Wiggers^{1,2,3,4}

Abstract

Background: Valid tools measuring characteristics of the school environment associated with the physical activity and dietary behaviours of children are needed to accurately evaluate the impact of initiatives to improve school environments. The aim of this study was to assess the validity of Principal self-report of primary school healthy eating and physical activity environments.

Methods: Primary school Principals (n = 42) in New South Wales, Australia were invited to complete a telephone survey of the school environment; the School Environment Assessment Tool – SEAT. Equivalent observational data were collected by pre-service teachers located within the school. The SEAT, involved 65 items that assessed food availability via canteens, vending machines and fundraisers and the presence of physical activity facilities, equipment and organised physical activities. Kappa statistics were used to assess agreement between the two measures.

Results: Almost 70% of the survey demonstrated moderate to almost perfect agreement. Substantial agreement was found for 10 of 13 items assessing foods sold for fundraising, 3 of 6 items assessing physical activity facilities of the school, and both items assessing organised physical activities that occurred at recess and lunch and school sport. Limited agreement was found for items assessing foods sold through canteens and access to small screen recreation.

Conclusions: The SEAT provides researchers and policy makers with a valid tool for assessing aspects of the school food and physical activity environment.

Introduction

Schools have been recognised as an important setting for promoting child healthy eating and physical activity [1]. Ecological frameworks and empirical research suggest that a number of school characteristics such as their economic, policy and socio-cultural environment can impact upon these behaviours [2]. Moreover, the physical environment of schools can significantly impact upon the nutrition and physical activity behaviours of

low-nutrient dense foods such as soft-drinks and confectionary through school canteens, vending machines or fundraising activities have been associated with children consuming a higher intake of kilojoules and saturated fat and a lower intake of vegetables and fruit [1,4,5,7,8]. Similarly, the availability of open spaces (e.g. courts or fields) [9]; playground markings [10]; fixed outdoor equipment such as climbing structures [10,11], the availability of sports equipment [12] and provision of organized games or sports during recess and lunch [13] have been associated with higher levels of child physical activity at school

Given the suggested influence of the school environment on children's diet and physical activity, encouraging schools to create environments supportive of such behaviours has been a focus of Government obesity

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



^{*} Correspondence: Nicole.Nathan@hnehealth.nsw.gov.au

¹Hunter New England Population Health, Hunter New England Area Health Service, Newcastle; Locked Bag No. 10, Wallsend, NSW 2287, Australia ²School of Medicine and Public Health, The University of Newcastle, Newcastle, NSW 2308, Australia

prevention policies and programmes in a number of countries [14]. For example, some jurisdictions in The United States and Canada have prohibited the sale of foods which are high in sugar and saturated fats through vending machines and school meals and have mandated the teaching of physical education and the amount of class time dedicated to moderate-vigorous activities [1]. Similarly, a number of Australian States and Territories have released mandatory Government policies banning the regular sale of unhealthy foods and beverages from canteens, school events and fundraisers [15]. Furthermore, they have stipulated the minimum number of hours children must participate in physical education and sport [16]. Specifically within New South Wales all Government schools are restricted from selling some sugar sweetened drinks and foods that are high in saturated fats and or sugar and or salt such as confectionary, fried foods and sweet and savoury snack foods [17]. In addition all Government primary schools are required to allocate 120 minutes of planned physical activity each week, inclusive of school sport, in Years 3 to 6 [16].

Valid tools to measure school environment characteristics are needed to accurately assess the nature and prevalence of nutrition and physical activity promoting characteristics of schools, the trends and changes over time in the prevalence of such characteristics, and to evaluate the impact of initiatives to promote school implementation of environmental changes. While direct observation represents a gold standard data collection method, for assessment of school environmental characteristics such procedures are expensive and impractical at a population level [18,19].

Population based assessments of school environment characteristics in Australia [20], the United States of America [21] and Canada [22] have mostly relied on Principal or School Administrators completion of telephone or paper surveys. Despite the use of such measures, few have been validated [18]. For example, the validity of the nutritional and physical activity environmental characteristics of schools in Australia, as reported in large, recurrent surveys of Australian school Principals [20] has not been reported. Similarly, the United States National School Health Policies and Programs Study (SHPPS) of school health policies and practices has reported the reliability but not the validity of the questionnaires measuring school practices [23]. An unpublished validation study of the Canadian School Health Environment Survey (SHES) [24] is one of the few studies that have reported the validity of school survey tools. The report found high percent agreement (range 70%-100%) between direct observation and combined school administrator/teacher "best response" for questions related to existing physical activity facilities and healthy eating programs and promotions. Lower agreement was found for availability of healthy vending machines and promotion of active transport (50.0% and 66.7% percent agreement respectively). The 2007 study was limited by it being conducted in 14 schools, of which eight catered for primary school aged children, and each school being observed for one day [18]. More recently, two school physical activity environment audit tools developed in the United Kingdom have been validated by direct observation. In both cases the tools focussed only on the physical activity or sport environment of schools [19,25]

Given the limited evidence regarding the validity of self reported school characteristics regarding the promotion of student healthy eating and physical activity, we undertook a study to determine the validity of Principal reported nutrition and physical activity characteristics of primary schools.

Methods

Approval to conduct the study was obtained from Hunter New England Area Health Service (HNEAHS) Human Research Ethics Committee (no. 06/07/26/4.04) and The University of Newcastle (H-2008-0343).

Design and setting

A descriptive study, comparing cross sectional data from two measures of the healthy eating and physical activity environment of primary (children 5–12 years of age) and central schools (children aged 5-18 years of age) was undertaken. The study was conducted in the context of a large scale child obesity prevention initiative in the Hunter New England region of New South Wales (NSW), Australia [26].

Participants

Principal self-report

All primary schools in the study region were approached to participate in a 20-minute telephone survey of school environment characteristics related to the promotion of child healthy eating and physical activity.

Observational data

A sub-sample of schools participating in the telephone survey were approached to participate in the collection of observational data regarding school environment characteristics.

Data collection procedures

Principal self-report

A database of all primary and central schools across the state was generated from information provided by relevant school bodies [27-29]. All schools were eligible to participate in the study other than special purpose schools catering for students with special needs, juvenile

justice or schools serving children who are hospitalized. Principals were sent a letter inviting them to participate in the study. Two weeks after receipt of the letter, Principals were telephoned by a trained research assistant who confirmed school eligibility, sought consent to participate in the study and scheduled a time for a telephone interview. At the completion of the telephone survey Principal consent was sought for observational data to be collected. The survey was conducted in late 2010.

To identify a comprehensive list of school environment factors for inclusion in the telephone survey the research team conducted a review of the literature regarding school environmental factors associated with student diet, physical activity, sedentary behaviour or excessive weight gain, as well as items from similar tools. A draft survey tool was circulated to an expert advisory group (n = 10) of health promotion practitioners, school education directors, local Principals and teachers, academics, parents and dietitians. The items were amended based on advisory group member's review and feedback regarding the scope of the survey, the appropriateness of survey items and comprehension and then pilot tested with five primary school Principals to check acceptability and comprehension. The final survey tool (School Environment Assessment Tool (SEAT)) consisted of 65 survey items. A copy of the survey tool is available as supplementary material.

Observational data

Pre-service teachers on placement in primary schools in the region as part of their fourth year University teacher training program were recruited to collect the observational data. Pre-service teachers were informed of the school practices to be observed at the beginning of their 10-week placement. To ensure they had an adequate amount of time to observe all practices they were surveyed in week 9 of their 10 week placement, away from school premises to ensure that there was no influence from school personnel, using a paper-based form. The pre-service teachers were surveyed two weeks after the completion of the telephone survey by the school Principal. They were not aware of the Principal's response to the telephone survey. If the pre service teachers did not observe any of the practices, for example fundraising activities, they were instructed to provide a 'don't know' response. The paper-based observational data collection form was developed using equivalent items from the telephone survey. The form was piloted with 15 preservice teachers to establish its utility and acceptability, with minor amendments subsequently being made.

Measures

Food availability

The SEAT required Principals to report (yes/ no/ don't know/ refused) if any of the following foods or drinks

were sold at the school from the (a) canteen, (b) vending machines or (c) via fundraisers: fruit; vegetables; water; regular soft drinks (not diet); diet soft drinks; fruit juice; other sweetened drinks including cordials, energy drinks, flavoured mineral waters etc.; confectionary; sweet and savoury biscuits/ cakes/ muffins/ donuts or muesli bars; potato crisps or other salty snacks such as twisties or corn chips; deep fried foods; ice creams covered in chocolate; other ice creams, iceblocks or frozen desserts.

Physical activity opportunities

The SEAT required Principals to report on the physical activity facilities and equipment and organised physical activities that students had available to them whilst at the school. These included:

- (a) Physical activity facilities and equipment- Principals were asked to report whether the school had any of the following that students can access everyday (yes/ no/ don't know) and if yes when can they access them (recess only/ lunch only/ both recess and lunch);
 - Large asphalt areas suitable for games e.g. basketball/ netball;
 - Large playing fields suitable for games e.g. soccer, football;
 - Indoor activity spaces e.g. multi-purpose rooms and gymnasiums;
 - Playground markings e.g. hopscotch, wall targets etc.;
 - Fixed playground equipment such as climbing structures, swings etc.;
 - Sports equipment provided by the school e.g. basketballs, netballs, skipping ropes etc.
- (b) Organised physical activities- Principals were asked if, during recess or lunch, the school had organised physical activities e.g. dance/ gymnastics (yes/ no/ don't know); whether they are co-ordinated by the school or an outside agency; and if teachers participate or join in.
- (c) Small screen recreation- Principals were asked to report whether students were able to access small screen recreation (yes/ no/ don't know) during recess or lunch; before or after school; during wet weather sport.
- (d) School sport- Principals were asked to report if both infants and primary students had sport.

School characteristics

During the telephone interview, Principals were asked to report the number of students attending the school. School type (Government or non-Government (Catholic or Independent) and the postcode of the locality of the school were obtained from school websites.

Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SAS v9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Descriptive statistics were used to describe school characteristics and the proportion of Principals and pre-service teachers who reported "yes" to any of the school practices. The reported number of enrolled students in each school was used to categorise school size as: 'small (1-159 students); 'medium' (160-450 students); or 'large' (451+ students) based on the Department of Education and Communities School Directory [30]. Schools with postcodes ranked socioeconomically in the top 50% of New South Wales [31] were categorised as schools of 'higher socio-economic status' while those in the lower 50% were categorised as schools of 'lower socio-economic status'. School postcode areas were also used to categorise the school's locality as either 'rural' (those schools in outer regional, remote and very remote areas), or 'urban' (those in regional cities and inner regional areas) [32].

Two measures of agreement were calculated to describe the validity of Principal report. First, percent agreement between Principal report and observation was calculated for each item. As recommended, agreement levels ≥80% were considered 'strong' [33].

Whilst percent agreement is widely used and easily interpreted [34], it does not correct for agreement over and above what would be expected by chance [35]. As such, the second and primary measure of validity was assessed using the Kappa statistic [35]. Prevalence Adjusted and Bias Adjusted Kappa (PABAK) was calculated and reported where the prevalence of a particular school environment characteristics was found to be $\geq 75\%$ (or less than 25%). Non adjusted Kappa statistics were calculated and reported for items with prevalence between 26% and 74%. Benchmarks suggested by Landis and Koch [36] were used to classify agreement: < 0.00 = poor, 0.00 - 0.20 = slight, 0.21 - 0.40 = fair, 0.41 - 0.60 = moderate, 0.61 - 0.80 = substantial and 0.81 - 1.0 = almost perfect.

Results

Sample

In total, 384 of 403 Principals participated in the CATI. Of these, 63 schools had a pre-service teacher on placement in the Hunter New England Region of NSW and hence were eligible to participate. Of these, seven school Principals did not consent to having their pre-service teacher participate in data collection leaving a maximum potential sample of 56 (Principal consent rate = 89%). There was no statistically significant difference in terms of school size, socio-economic status or rurality for schools who consented to having their pre-service teachers complete the survey and those that did not. On the day of data collection with the pre-service teachers, three refused to participate and 11 were absent (pre-

service teacher response rate = 75%). This provided 42 matched pairs of CATI-observational data.

Of the telephone survey respondents, 35 were Principals who had been in their position on average 5.9 years (SD 4.0 years), with the remaining participants being Deputy or Assistant Principals (all respondents hereafter are referred to as Principals). The majority of the schools were medium sized (57%) (equal proportions of small and large schools participated (21%)), Government schools (67%), located in urban (98%) and in higher socio-economic areas (67%).

Validity of Principal self report

The validity of principal responses to the telephone survey items are presented in Table 1. As both Principals and observers reported that no vending machines were located in the schools, percent agreement and kappa scores were 100% and are not presented for these 26 items.

Percent agreement

Per cent agreements for the remaining 39 items, ranged from 37% to 100%. Twenty items (51%) were found to have percent agreements greater than or equal to 80%. The questions related to student's access to small screen recreation had substantially lower per cent agreement estimates.

Kappa statistics

PABAK were calculated for 27 of the 39 items as they had prevalence \geq 75% (or less than 25%). Based on such analyses, three items had poor agreement (< 0.00), eight had slight agreement (0.00-0.20), one had fair agreement (0.21-0.40), seven had moderate agreement (0.41-0.60), 11 had substantial agreement (0.61-0.80) and nine had almost perfect agreement (0.81-1.0).

With respect to the food environment, foods sold through fundraisers had the highest Kappa/PABAK estimates with 10 items having substantial or better agreement. Kappa/ PABAK scores for foods sold in the canteen varied (range -0.6-0.81), although most had slight to moderate agreement.

Across the four aspects of the physical activity environment that were measured, Kappa/PABAK scores were, in general, quite good. Three items within "physical activity facilities" were found to have moderate or better agreement and two items within "organised physical activities" were found to have perfect agreement (school has supervised or instructed physical activity at recess and lunch and whether teacher's participate or join in the organised activities at recess and lunch).

Discussion

To the author's knowledge this is the first study to assess the validity of a Computer Assisted Telephone Interview (CATI) survey of principal reported primary school

Table 1 Validity of survey items assessing the nutrition and physical activity environment of primary schools

Aspect of the school environment	% Yes (Principal)	% Yes (Pre-service teacher)	% Agree	Kappa/PABAK (95% CI)
Food sold in canteen				
• Fruit	95	83	79	0.57 (0.26, 0.79) ^a
 Vegetables 	90	62	57	-0.06 (-0.26, 0.14)
• Water	93	86	88	0.76 (0.49, 0.92) ^a
 Regular soft drinks 	0	24	76	0.52 (0.21, 0.76) ^a
Diet soft drinks	2	24	74	0.48 (0.16, 0.72) ^a
Fruit juice	98	93	90	0.81 (0.55, 0.95) ^a
 Other sweetened drinks 	48	55	69	0.38 (0.11, 0.66)
 Confectionary 	19	43	62	0.16 (-0.1, 0.43)
 Sweet and savoury biscuits 	55	64	52	0.02 (-0.28, 0.32)
 Potato crisps 	57	69	55	0.04 (-0.25, 0.34)
 Deep fried foods 	0	31	69	0 (0, 0)
• Ice creams covered in chocolate	0	21	79	0.57 (0.26, 0.79) ^a
Other ice creams	95	88	88	0.76 (0.49, 0.92) ^a
Food sold in fundraising				
• Fruit	19	5	81	0.62 (0.32, 0.83) ^a
 Vegetables 	24	7	79	0.57 (0.26, 0.79) ^a
• Water	12	0	88	0.76 (0.49, 0.92) ^a
 Regular soft drinks 	17	7	81	0.62 (0.32, 0.83) ^a
Diet soft drinks	14	2	88	0.76 (0.49, 0.92) ^a
• Fruit juice	17	0	83	0.67 (0.37, 0.86) ^a
 Other sweetened drinks 	14	7	88	0.76 (0.49, 0.92) ^a
 Confectionary 	31	12	67	0.06 (-0.21, 0.33)
 Sweet and savoury biscuits 	29	10	67	-0.02 (-0.26, 0.22)
 Potato crisps 	12	7	90	0.81 (0.55, 0.95) ^a
 Deep fried foods 	2	7	95	0.90 (0.68, 0.99) ^a
 Ice creams covered in chocolate 	0	7	93	0.86 (0.61, 0.97) ^a
Other ice creams	10	5	90	0.81 (0.55, 0.95) ^a
Physical activity facilities accessible at	recess and lunch			
 Large asphalt areas 	95	90	86	0.71 (0.43, 0.89) ^a
 Large playing fields 	83	88	81	0.62 (0.32, 0.83) ^a
 Indoor activity spaces 	19	24	67	0.01 (-0.29, 0.32)
 Playground markings 	95	90	90	0.81 (0.55, 0.95) ^a
 Fixed playground equipment 	67	83	74	0.48 (0.16, 0.72) ^a
 School sports equipment 	60	93	57	-0.02 (-0.2, 0.15)
Organised physical activities at recess	and lunch			
 Organised physical activity 	10	10	100	1 (1, 1) ^a
• Do teachers join in	40	40	100	1 (1, 1) ^a
Students access to small screen recrea	tion			
During Recess/Lunch	7	64	37	0 (-0.12, 0.12)
Before school	33	19	59	0.02 (-0.28, 0.31)
During wet weather sport	5	31	73	0.46 (0.14, 0.72) ^a

Table 1 Validity of survey items assessing the nutrition and physical activity environment of primary schools (Continued)

School sport				
• K-2 have sport	88	85	83	0.67 (0.37, 0.86) ^a
• 3-6 have sport	95	90	90	0.81 (0.55, 0.95) ^a

^aAdjusted Kappa used if prevalence greater than or equal to 75% (or less than 25%); CI confidence interval.

nutrition and physical activity environment characteristics using direct observation as the criterion standard. The findings of the study suggest that Principals can accurately report on a large number of food and physical activity environmental characteristics of their school.

The study found that more than half of the survey items assessed had moderate, substantial or almost perfect agreement. Substantial or greater agreement was found for most items that assessed foods sold through fundraising, physical activity facilities of the school, and organised physical activities that occurred at recess and lunch. Such findings are consistent with the findings of the few other validation studies of the healthy eating and or physical activity and sports environment of schools [24,25]. The high level agreement found within these domains may be for a number of reasons. The physical activity facilities are permanent fixtures in the school and the organised activities occur regularly and are visible activities it is likely Principals can more accurately report on these. Similarly, as Principal approval is needed for school fundraising activities to occur, and the fact they may occur only once or twice a term, it is likely Principals are able to easily recall these events and the foods sold. However, these findings should be viewed with some caution, as no time period was specified, it is possible Principals may have been reporting on fundraising events throughout the year whilst pre-service teachers could only report on fundraising events which occurred during the nine weeks they were in the school.

In contrast, foods sold through the canteen and student's access to small screen recreation were found to have lower agreement. This is similar to findings of the Canadian validation study which found low agreement for school administrators and teachers being able to report on the schools provision of healthy vending machines [24]. As school canteens sell a wide variety of foods, and as products sold through canteens are frequently modified according to student demand and product availability and season, recalling foods sold through canteens may represent a significant challenge for Principals. Collection of school policies and parent communication strategies (e.g. newsletter items) could be undertaken in future studies to help validate such items that are difficult to quantify by direct observation but are known to be associated with student's physical activity and healthy eating such as school policies and parent engagement strategies [14].

A strength of this study was the use of observational data over a nine week period. However, this methodology could be enhanced by tasking pre service teachers with observations prospectively rather than retrospectively. Given the differences in school systems, the generalizabilty of the SEAT to schools outside of Australia may be limited and thus may need to be adapted for international use and have its validity tested in these jurisdictions. Furthermore, given the challenges of collecting observational data the study utilised a convenience sample of pre-service teachers as observers. Nonetheless, randomisation of pre-service teachers to schools would have strengthened the design.

Conclusion

Given the significant influence schools have on children's behaviours this tool may provide researchers, policy makers and practitioners with an efficient method of assessing the nutrition and physical activity environment of primary schools and evidence as to whether obesity prevention interventions are achieving expected outcomes and the need for on-going intervention.

Abbreviations

SEAT: School Environment Assessment Tool; CATI: Computer Assisted Telephone Interview.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

NN, LW, PJM, CB and JW conceptualized the study design and measures. Data were collected by NN. DB performed the statistical analysis; NN, DB, LW interpreted data. NN led the development of the manuscript and all authors contributed to drafts and read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by funding received from the New South Wales Health ASSIST program. The project also received infrastructure support from the Hunter Medical Research Institute (HMRI) and Hunter New England Population Health. The authors wish to thank the survey respondents of participating schools and pre-service teachers.

Author details

¹Hunter New England Population Health, Hunter New England Area Health Service, Newcastle; Locked Bag No. 10, Wallsend, NSW 2287, Australia. ²School of Medicine and Public Health, The University of Newcastle, Newcastle, NSW 2308, Australia. ³Priority Research Centre for Health Behaviour, The University of Newcastle, NSW 2308, Australia. ⁴Hunter Medical Research Institute, Newcastle, NSW 2300, Australia. ⁵Priority Research Centre in Physical Activity & Nutrition, Faculty of Education & Arts, The University of Newcastle, Newcastle, NSW 2308, Australia.

Received: 16 December 2012 Accepted: 11 June 2013 Published: 13 June 2013

References

- Story M, Nanney MS, Schwartz MB: Schools and obesity prevention: creating school environments and policies to promote healthy eating and physical activity. Milbank Q 2009, 87(1):71–100.
- Swinburn B, Egger G, Raza F: Dissecting obesogenic environments: the development and application of a framework for identifying and prioritizing environmental interventions for obesity. Prev Med 1999, 29:563–70.
- 3. Fein AJ, et al: Perceived environment and physical activity in youth. Int J Behav Med 2004, 11(3):135–142.
- Kubik MY, et al: The association of the school food environment with dietary behaviors of young adolescents. Am J Public Health 2003, 93(7):1168–73.
- French SA, et al: Food environment in secondary schools: À La Carte, vending machines, and food policies and practices. Am J Public Health 2003, 93(7):1161–1167.
- Waters E, et al: Interventions for preventing obesity in children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2011(12):CD001871. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD001871. pub3.
- Jaime PC, Lock K: Do school based food and nutrition policies improve diet and reduce obesity? Prev Med 2009, 48(1):45–53.
- Neumark-Sztainer D, et al: School lunch and snacking patterns among high school students: associations with school food environment and policies. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2005, 2(14):1–7.
- Sallis JF, et al: The association of school environments with youth physical activity. Am J Pub Health 2001, 91:618–620.
- Ridgers ND, et al: Long-term effects of a playground markings and physical structures on children's recess physical activity levels. Prev Med 2007. 44(5):393–397.
- Stratton G, Mullan E: The effect of multicolor playground markings on children's physical activity level during recess. Prev Med 2005, 41(5–6):828–833.
- 12. Verstraete SJ, et al: Increasing children's physical activity levels during recess periods in elementary schools: the effects of providing game equipment. Eur J Public Health 2006, 16(4):415–9.
- Wechsler H, et al: Using the school environment to promote physical activity and healthy eating. Prev Med 2000, 31:S121–S137.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: School Health Guidelines to Promote Healthy Eating and Physical Activity. Atlanta: Division of Population Health School Health Branch; 2011:1–76.
- 15. de Silva-Sanigorski A, *et al*: **Government food service policies and guidelines do not create healthy school canteens**. *Aust N Z J Public Health* 2011, **35**(2):117–121.
- New South Wales Auditor-General's Report Performance Audit: Physical Activity in Government Primary Schools Department of Education and Communities. Sydney, NSW: Audit Office of NSW, Editor; 2012.
- NSW Department of Health and NSW Department of Education and Training: Fresh Tastes @ School NSW Healthy Canteen Strategy Canteen Menu Planning Guide. Sydney NSW: NSW Department of Health; 2006.
- McGraw SA, et al: Measuring implementation of school programs and policies to promote healthy eating and physical activity among youth. Prev Med 2000, 31:S86–S97.
- Jones NR, et al: School environments and physical activity: the development and testing of an audit tool. Health Place 2010, 16:776–783.
- 20. Hardy LL, et al: NSW Schools Physical Activity and Nutrition Survey (SPANS) 2010: Full Report. Sydney: NSW Ministry of Health, Editor; 2010.
- 21. Kyle TM, et al: Methods: school health policies and programs study 2006.

 J Sch Health 2007, 77(8):398–407.
- Allison KR, Adlaf EM: Structured opportunities for student physical activity in ontario elementary and secondary schools. Can J Publ Health 2000, 91(5):371–375.
- Brener ND, Kann L, Smith TK: Reliability and validity of the school health policies and programs study 2000 questionnaires. J Sch Health 2003, 73(1):29–37.
- Manske S: In Pilot phase of the 2007–2008 school health environment survey: technical report october 24, 2008. Edited by Centre for Behavioural Research and Program Evaluation. Waterloo, Ontario: University of Waterloo; 2008.

- Fairclough SJ, et al: The physical education and school sport environment inventory preliminary validation and reliability. Environ Behav 2012, 44(1):50–67.
- NSW Department of Health: Good for Kids.Good for Life.; 2009. http://www.goodforkids.nsw.gov.au/Parents.
- NSW Department of Education and Training: NSW School Locator; 2008. www.schools.nsw.edu.au/schoolfind/locator.
- Catholic Education Commission: NSW Catholic Schools Directory; 2008. http://stage.cecnsw.catholic.edu.au/2009/lisa.asp.
- Private Schools Directory: Private Schools Directory NSW. 2008. www.privateschoolsdirectory.com.au/search.php.
- New South Wales Department Of Education and Training: 2009 DET Directory. Sydney, NSW: Department of Education and Training; 2009:1–204.
- Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS): Technical Paper:Census of Population and Housing: Socio-Economic Indexes For Australia (SEIFA). Cat. no 2039.0.55.001. Canberra: Commonwealth of Australia; 2001.
- 32. Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS): Statistical Geography Volume 1-Australian Standard Geogrphical Classification (ASGC). Cat. no 1216.0. Canberra: Commonwealth of Australia; 2006.
- 33. Hartmann DP: Considerations in choice of interobserver reliability estimates. *J Appl Behav Anal* 1977, **10**(1):103116.
- Henderson KE, et al: Validity of a measure to assess the child-care nutrition and physical activity environment. J Am Diet Assoc 2011, 111(9):1306–1313.
- 35. Byrt T, Bishop J, Carlin JB: **Bias, prevalence and kappa.** *J Clin Epidemiol* 1993, **46**(5):423–429.
- Landis JR, Koch GG: The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics* 1977, 33(1):159–174.

doi:10.1186/1479-5868-10-75

Cite this article as: Nathan *et al.*: Validity of a self-report survey tool measuring the nutrition and physical activity environment of primary schools. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity* 2013 10:75.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

